1	Leslie Bryan Hart, Esq. (SBN 4932)			
2	John D. Tennert, Esq. (SBN 11728) FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.			
3	300 E. Second St., Suite 1510 Reno, Nevada 89501			
4	Tel: 775-788-2228 Fax: 775-788-2229 lhart@fclaw.com; jtennert@fclaw.com			
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Federal Housing			
6	Finance Agency and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation			
7	LINITED STATES DISTRICT COLUDT			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
9	FEDERAL HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY, in its capacity as Conservator of Federal	CASE NO.: 2:16-cv-02242-JAD-BNW		
10	National Mortgage Association and Federal	JOINT DISCOVERY PLAN AND		
11	Home Loan Mortgage Corporation; FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE	SCHEDULING ORDER		
12	ASSOCIATION; and FEDERAL HOME LOAN MORTGAGE CORPORATION,	[SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW REQUESTED]		
13	Plaintiffs,	REQUESTED		
14	vs.			
15	SATICOY BAY, LLC,			
16	Defendant.			
17				
18	Plaintiffs Federal Housing Finance A	gency ("FHFA"), Federal National Mortgage		
19	Association ("Fannie Mae"), Federal Home Lo	Association ("Fannie Mae"), Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("Freddie Mac"), and		
20	Defendant Saticoy Bay ("Saticoy") (collectivel	y, the "Parties"), by and through their respective		
21	undersigned counsel, hereby respectfully sub	mit the following Joint Discovery Plan and		
22	Scheduling Order to the Court.			
23	I. RULE 26(f) CONFERENCE [Fed. R. (Civ. P. 26(f); LR 26-1(a)]		
24	On Monday, June 24, 2019, the Parties n	net and conferred for a discovery conference (the		
25	"Conference") to discuss all issues required by Fed R. Civ. P. 26(f) and LR 26-1. Leslie Bryan			
26	Hart of the law firm of Fennemore Craig, P.C., attended on behalf of FHFA and Freddie Mac,			
27	Erica J. Stutman of the law firm of Snell & Wilmer LLP, attended on behalf of Fannie Mae, and			
28	Timothy Rhoda of the law firm of Roger P. Croteau & Associates, Ltd., attended on behalf of			

Saticoy. As required by the Court's May 30, 2019 Order (ECF No. 19), client representatives of FHFA, Fannie, Freddie and Saticoy also participated in the Conference.

II. INITIAL DISCLOSURES [Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(3)(A)]

The Parties shall make their initial disclosures no later than **July 24, 2019**, thirty days after the date of the Conference.

III. DISCOVERY PLAN [Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(3)(B); LR 26-1(b)(1)-(6)]

Plaintiffs assert that no discovery is necessary in this case as Courts have repeatedly decided the issues presented in this case without the need for the discovery Saticoy indicated it intends to seek. For example, on June 18, 2019, in a case captioned *FHFA v. GR Investments*, *LLC*, Case No. 2:17-cv-03005-JAD-CWH, Magistrate Judge Hoffman entered an order staying discovery and denying the parties' proposed discovery plan. [ECF No. 61.] Plaintiffs anticipate filing a Motion to Stay Discovery in this case within the next thirty to forty-five days.

Saticoy asserts discovery is needed on all claims and defenses by the Parties pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Court's Local Rules of Practice but specifically with regard to the claimed ownership interests of the Plaintiffs in the loans and deeds of trust at issue herein. Saticoy contends that it has a right to discovery and that it is not required to simply accept the word of the Plaintiffs without any opportunity to verify the truthfulness or accuracy of the same.

The parties agree that there is no need for discovery to be conducted in phases or be limited to or focused on particular issues.

Special Scheduling Review Requested: The Parties request special scheduling review pursuant to LR 26-1(a). Per LR 26-1(b)(1), the discovery period would normally run one hundred eighty (180) days from the date the first defendant answered or otherwise appeared. Here, Saticoy appeared in the case in January 5, 2017 through its counsel's Notice of Appearance (ECF No. 9), and filed its Answer herein on July 5, 2019 [ECF #20]. As such, the proposed deadlines below are being calculated from the date of the Conference held on June 24, 2019. For these reasons, the Parties respectfully request a discovery period of one hundred eighty (180) days commencing from the date of the Conference, for a discovery cut-off date of

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28 AIG, P.C.

December 23, 2019. Plaintiffs agree to these deadlines without prejudice to their right to seek a stay of discovery as Plaintiffs assert that no discovery is necessary in this case as Courts have repeatedly decided the issues presented in this case without the need for the discovery Saticoy seeks.

a. Discovery Cut-Off Date [LR 26-1(b)(1)]

Discovery shall close on **December 23, 2019**, one hundred eighty (180) days after the date of the Conference.

b. Amending the Pleadings and Adding Parties [LR 26-1(b)(2)]

The deadline for filing motions to amend the pleadings or to add parties shall be **September 24, 2019**, ninety (90) days before the discovery cut-off date.

c. Expert Disclosures [Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2); LR 26-1(b)(3)]

- i. Initial Expert Disclosures. The deadline to make initial expert disclosures shall be October 24, 2019, sixty (60) days before the discovery cut-off date.
- **ii. Rebuttal Expert Disclosures.** The deadline to make rebuttal expert disclosures shall be **November 25, 2019**, thirty (30) days after the deadline to make initial expert disclosures.

d. Interim Status Report [LR 26-3]

The deadline for filing the Interim Status Report shall be **October 24, 2019**, sixty (60) days before the discovery cut-off date.

e. Dispositive Motions [LR 26-1(b)(4)]

The deadline for filing dispositive motions shall be **January 22, 2020**, thirty (30) days after the discovery cut-off date.

f. Pre-Trial Order [LR 26-1(b)(5)-(6)]

The deadline to file the Pre-Trial Order shall be **February 21, 2020**, thirty (30) days after the deadline for filing dispositive motions. If dispositive motions are timely filed, the deadline for filing the Pre-Trial Order will be suspended until thirty (30) days after entry of the decision on the last such dispositive motion, or further order of the Court. The disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3) and any objections thereto must be included in the Pre-Trial Order.

IV. EXTENSION OF SCHEDULED DEADLINES [LR 26-4]

LR 26-4 governs the modification or extension of any deadline set by this Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order. Any motion or stipulation to extend any deadline set forth in the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order must satisfy the requirements of LR IA 6-1, be supported by a showing of good cause for the extension, and be received by the Court no later than twenty-one (21) days before the expiration of the subject deadline. Any motion or stipulation to extend the discovery cut-off deadline must be made no later than **December 2, 2019**, twenty-one (21) days before the discovery cut-off date.

V. ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION [LR 26-1(b)(7)]

The Parties hereby certify that they met and conferred about the possibility of using alternative dispute resolution ("ADR") processes including mediation, arbitration, and early neutral evaluation. The Parties determined that ADR is not a viable option at this time although they do intend to discuss settlement.

VI. ALTERNATIVE FORMS OF CASE DISPOSITION [LR 26-1(b)(8)]

The Parties hereby certify that they considered consent to trial by a magistrate judge under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 73 and the use of the Short Trial Program (General Order 2013-01). The Parties do not consent to either at this time.

VII. ELECTRONIC EVIDENCE [LR 26-1(b)(9); Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(3)(C)]

No party to this action has filed a jury demand; therefore, the Parties hereby certify that they did not discuss the presentation of evidence in electronic format to jurors for the purposes of jury deliberations.

The Parties anticipate that the production of computer-based or electronically stored information may be needed. The Parties agree that the disclosure, discovery, or preservation of such information, including the form or forms in which it should be produced, should be governed by the applicable provisions of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Court's Local Rules of Practice.

VIII. CLAIMS OF PRIVILEGE OR PROTECTION [Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(3)(D)]

At this time, the Parties are unaware of any claims of privilege or of protection of trial-

	1			
1	preparation materials that require a protective order. In the event privileged or protected			
2	inforn	information is produced in discovery, the Parties reserve all rights and remedies available to		
3	them	them to address such privileged or protected information pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(5)(B)		
4	and F	and Fed. R. Evid. 502.		
5	IX.	LIMITATIONS ON DISCOVERY [Fe	ed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(3)(E)]	
6		At this time, and subject to Plaintiffs' a	nticipated Motion to Stay Discovery, the Parties	
7	do not request any changes be made in the limitations on discovery imposed by the Federal Rules			
8	of Civil Procedure or the Court's Local Rules of Practice.			
9	X.	X. OTHER ORDERS [Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(3)(F)]		
10		The Parties agree work together and att	empt to provide at least thirty (30) days' notice	
11	prior to the date of any deposition, unless otherwise agreed.			
12	XI. LATER APPEARING PARTIES			
13		This Discovery Plan and Scheduling C	order shall apply to any later appearing parties,	
14	unless a stipulation by the parties is approved by the Court, or the Court, on motion and for good			
15	cause shown, otherwise orders.			
16	XII.	XII. COURT CONFERENCE		
17	The Parties do not request a conference with the Court before the entry of this Discovery			
18	Plan a	and Scheduling Order.		
19	XIII.	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION		
20		None.		
21		DATED: July 15, 2019.		
22	FENN	NEMORE CRAIG, P.C.	ROGER P. CROTEAU & ASSOCIATES,	
23		/s/ Leslie Bryan Hart	LTD.	
24	J	eslie Bryan Hart, Esq. (SBN 4932) ohn D. Tennert, Esq. (SBN 11728)	By: <u>/s/ Timothy Rhoda</u> Roger P. Croteau, Esq. (SBN 4958)	
25	R	00 E. Second St., Suite 1510 Leno, Nevada 89501	Timothy Rhoda, Esq. (SBN 7878) 9120 West Post Road, Suite 100	
26		Tel: 775-788-2228 Fax: 775-788-2229 nart@fclaw.com; jtennert@fclaw.com	Las Vegas, NV 89148 Tel: (702) 254-7775 Fax: (702) 228-7719	
27	rcroteau@croteaulaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs Federal Housing tim@croteaulaw.com		tim@croteaulaw.com	
28	Financing Agency and Federal Home Loan Attorneys for Defendant Saticoy Bay Mortgage Corporation			

FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C. 300 E. SECOND ST. SUITE 1510 RENO, NEVADA 89501 (775) 788-2200

SNELL & WILMER LLP By: /s/ Erica J. Stutman Amy F. Sorenson, Esq. (SBN 12495) Erica J. Stutman, Esq. (SBN 10794) Kelly H. Dove, Esq. (SBN 10569) 3883 Howard Hughes Pkwy, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, NV 89169 Tel: 702-784-5200 Fax: 702-784-5252 asorenson@swlaw.com estutman@swlaw.com rperkins@swlaw.com kdove@swlaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff Federal National Mortgage Association

FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C. 300 E. SECOND ST. SUITE 1510 RENO, NEVADA 89501 (775) 788-2200

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>		
2	Pursuant to F.R.C.P. 5(b) and Electronic Filing Procedure IV(B), I certify that on July 15,		
3	2019, a true and correct copy of the JOINT DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING		
4	ORDER , was transmitted electronically through the Court's e-filing electronic notice system to		
5	the attorney(s) associated with this case. If electronic notice is not indicated through the court's		
6	e-filing system, then a true and correct <u>paper</u> copy of the foregoing document was delivered via		
7 8	U.S. Mail.		
9	Amy F. Sorenson asorenson@swlaw.com		
10	Erica J Stutman <u>estutman@swlaw.com</u>		
11	Kelly H Dove <u>kdove@swlaw.com</u>		
12	Timothy Rhoda croteaulaw@croteaulaw.com		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17	/s/ Shelby Hughes An Employee of Fennemore Craig, P.C.		
18			
19			
20 21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C. 300 E. SECOND ST. SUITE 1510 RENO, NEVADA 89501 (775) 788-2200